Undergraduate Academic Misconduct Policy

POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RELATING TO ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT OF UNDERGRADUATES HENRY KENDALL COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCES JANUARY 2014

This document is not a contract. Policies and interpretation by the administration are subject to change as circumstances warrant. Please check with the Associate Dean for updates and current application of any policy.

NOTE: The policies and procedures outlined in this document are to be applied to allegations of academic misconduct for undergraduates. Allegations of academic misconduct on the part of graduate students should be referred to the Dean of the Graduate College.

I. PURPOSE

In keeping with the intellectual ideals and educational mission of the University of Tulsa, all members of the University community are expected to maintain their intellectual integrity, to conduct themselves properly in all academic activities, and to adhere to all academic policies. Cheating, plagiarism and other forms of academic dishonesty violate both individual honor and the life of the community. The purpose of this document is to encourage members of the academic community to conduct themselves responsibly toward one another, to ensure that complaints of academic misconduct are treated fairly and in a timely fashion, and to maintain the high standards of conduct required at the University of Tulsa.

II. POLICY

A. This policy prohibits any form of inappropriate conduct that constitutes academic misconduct and applies to all participants in academic courses or programs offered by the College of Arts & Sciences.
B. The College of Arts & Sciences and the University of Tulsa will take appropriate actions to prevent, correct, and discipline conduct that violates this policy.
C. This policy shall not preclude faculty, academic administrators or a college from proceeding summarily in appropriate cases.
D. This policy does not preclude anyone from pursuing complaints with any external agency or other entity, such as other institutions when a student participates in an internship, field placement, academic course or program at such institution; when criminal or civil laws may have been violated; and other appropriate situations.
III. DEFINITION OF ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

A. Academic misconduct includes any conduct pertaining to academic courses or programs that evidences fraud, deceit, dishonesty, an intent to obtain an unfair advantage over other students, or violation of the academic standards and policies of the university. It includes, but is not limited to, plagiarizing; cheating or otherwise violating the procedures for tests and examinations; turning in counterfeit reports, tests, papers or other work; stealing tests or other academic material; falsifying academic records or documents; turning in the same work to more than one instructor without informing the instructors involved; vandalism, unauthorized or inappropriate use of data files or equipment; violation of proprietary agreements, theft or tampering with the programs and data of other users; or assisting others in such activities.

B. Academic misconduct also includes any inappropriate behavior that unreasonably interferes with the educational process and the rights of others to pursue their academic goals. It includes, but is not limited to, disorderly or disruptive conduct during a classroom or other academic activity; actual or threatened misuse or destruction of equipment or other academic resources; actual or threatened interference with the right of others to participate fully in academic activities and failure to respect and adhere to reasonable standards of conduct while participating in academic activities.

IV. PROMPT ATTENTION

A. All credible accusations of academic misconduct will be taken seriously and will be investigated promptly, thoroughly and fairly.
B. NOTIFICATION BY INSTRUCTOR TO DEAN. All instructors shall notify the Dean promptly upon learning, directly or indirectly, about any case of academic misconduct.

V. PROCEDURES

A. INITIATING A COMPLAINT. A complaint may be initiated by a faculty member, administrator, staff member, student or anyone else who has reason to believe that academic misconduct has occurred.
B. ACTION BY INSTRUCTOR. An instructor may investigate and address any complaint of academic misconduct in the instructor’s course or program and recommend further action by the Dean of the College. A decision by an instructor shall be final and binding when the instructor has notified the student in writing of that decision. Students may appeal the instructor’s decision at the level of the Dean. For the appeals process see Section VII
C. ACTION BY THE DEAN. The Dean may initiate or pursue any case of academic misconduct in order to enforce academic policies and to maintain the academic integrity of the college and university.

1. Even when sanctions have been imposed by an instructor for a particular case of academic misconduct, additional sanctions may be pursued by the Dean in appropriate cases, such as when a student has committed academic misconduct previously or when the academic misconduct is serious enough to warrant additional sanctions.
2. In cases where a student has been accused of academic misconduct in a course or program offered outside the student’s college of enrollment, action may be initiated and pursued by either or both the Dean of the college in which the academic misconduct occurred and the Dean of the student’s college of enrollment.
3. A decision by the Dean shall be binding when the Dean has notified the student in writing of that decision.

VI. SANCTIONS

A. SANCTIONS IMPOSED BY INSTRUCTOR. An instructor may impose sanctions for academic misconduct that include, but are not limited to, oral and/or written reprimand, counseling, reduced or failing grades for specific assignments or the entire course or program, additional assignments or requirements relating to the course or program, or any combination thereof.

B. SANCTIONS IMPOSED BY THE DEAN OR COLLEGE COMMITTEE THAT DEALS WITH ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT. In addition to any sanctions imposed by an instructor, the Dean or college committee may impose sanctions for academic misconduct that include, but are not limited to, oral and/or written reprimand, counseling, reduced or failing grades for a course or program, involuntary removal from class or withdrawal from the course or program, suspension, probation, dismissal, notations on a student’s official records and transcript, revocation of academic honors or degrees, and any other appropriate sanction or combination thereof.

VII. APPEALS

A. APPEAL TO THE DEAN OF DECISION OF AN INSTRUCTOR

1. A student who believes that a decision made by an instructor is erroneous may appeal on that ground in writing to the Dean in the College of Arts & Sciences.
2. An appeal must be submitted within 7 days after the final decision of an instructor.
3. A decision by the Dean shall be binding when the student is notified in writing of that decision.

B. APPEAL TO THE COLLEGE COMMITTEE FROM DECISION OF THE DEAN

1. A student who believes that the decision made by a dean is erroneous may appeal on that ground in writing to the College of Arts & Sciences Executive Committee.
2. An appeal to the college committee must be submitted within 7 days after the final decision of a dean.
3. The Executive Committee of the College of Arts & Sciences consists of five faculty members elected from the faculty at large.
4. Appeals must be in writing and should include all facts and circumstances that have any bearing on the case, together with all relevant documents, evidence, and names of witnesses.
5. A student shall have the right to request a hearing before the college committee.
6. The Executive Committee shall have the right to conduct a hearing, to request additional information, and to receive and give such weight to evidence as it sees fit.
7. A student has the right to present personal testimony and evidence, and to have the assistance of a friend, or other advisor of his or her choosing. This person may provide advice to the student but may not otherwise participate in the proceedings.
8. A decision of the Executive Committee shall be binding when it has notified the student in writing of that final decision, except as specifically stated below:
   a. If the Committee recommends suspension, probation, dismissal, revocation of academic honors or degrees, or any combination thereof, such recommendation shall be forwarded to the Dean of
the College for final action.
b. If the Committee is unable to reach a majority decision, the case will be referred to the Dean of the College for further review and decision.

C. FINAL APPEAL TO THE PROVOST

1. In the unusual circumstance that the student can make a case that the concept of fundamental fairness has been violated in the appeal process itself, a final appeal may be made to the provost within 7 days after the final decision of the college committee. The provost may either consider it or decline to do so depending on the Provost’s assessment of the evidence presented. In all such cases, student appeals on academic issues will be final when a decision is rendered by the provost.

This document is not a contract. Policies and interpretation by the administration are subject to change as circumstances warrant. Please check with the Associate Dean for updates and current application of any policy.

Definitions:

* “Plagiarism” includes presenting as one’s own efforts the work of someone else without proper acknowledgment of that source. Paraphrasing where the basic sentence structure, phraseology and unique language remain the same is also plagiarism. The failure to acknowledge unique, unusual, or new ideas or facts not the product of your investigation or creativity is also plagiarism. When in doubt regarding these matters, it is the student’s responsibility to seek guidance from the instructor of the course or program.

* “Cheating” includes the use of aids or assistance not allowed in the quiz or testing procedure. The use of notes, charts, books, and mechanical devises not specifically allowed in writing by the examiner constitutes cheating. Visually, verbally or electronically receiving or giving information not allowed in writing by the examiner constitutes cheating.

* “Counterfeit work” includes work turned in as one’s own that was created, researched or produced by someone else and in a manner not allowed in writing by the instructor. Turning in a report of another’s research, submitting a paper researched or written by someone else, having someone else take a test, taking a test for someone else, and submitting joint projects as if they were solely one’s own are all forms of counterfeit work.

* “Stealing” includes the theft, use or circulation of a quiz, testing procedure or answers specifically prepared for a given course and as yet not used or publicly released by the instructor of a course.

* “Falsifying” includes knowingly and improperly changing grades on transcripts, grade sheets, class work reports, tests, projects, and related documents; knowingly falsifying documents related to the
meeting of academic requirements or academic achievements; and procuring materials that have been falsified.

* “Instructor” includes members of the faculty, teaching assistants, laboratory assistants, or others who function as teachers or supervisors in connection with academic work in the colleges.